

GOA INFORMATION COMMISSION

Ground Floor, "Shrama Shakti Bhavan", Patto Plaza, Panaji.

Appeal No. 19/2008-09/

Shri Anthony D'Souza,
Hno. 441, Perxett Vaddo,
Firguem Bhatt, Guirim,
Bardez – Goa.

... Appellant.

V/s

1) The Public Information Officer,
The Mamlatdar of Bardez Taluka,
Mapusa – Goa.

... Respondent No. 1

2) The First Appellate Authority,
The Dy. Collector & SDO,
Bardez Taluka,
Mapusa – Goa.

... Respondent No. 2

CORAM:

Shri A. Venkataratnam
State Chief Information Commissioner
&
Shri G. G. Kambli
State Information Commissioner

(Per G. G. Kambli)

Dated: 25/06/2008.

Appellant in person.

Both the Respondents absent.

O R D E R

By this order, we will dispose off this appeal, which is purportedly filed under sub-section (3) of section 19 of the Right to Information Act 2005 (for short the Act). The case of the Appellant is that the Appellant has been seeking the information from the Deputy Collector, Bardez, Mamlatdar Bardez Mapusa, Talathi of Guirim, Village Panchayat Sarpanch/Secretary of Guirim, in respect of Forms I to XII, Forms VI, VII and VIII, mutation No. 600 in respect of Survey No. 82/31 of the Village Guirim. The Appellant has produced copies of certain documents along with memo of Appeal. It is seen that the Appellant addressed his application

...2/-

dated 27/12/2007 under the Act to the Sarpanch/Secretary/ Talathi of the Village Panchayat of Guirim requesting to provide copies of index No 6,7 and 8. We are not concerned with this application since it is not addressed to the Mamlatdar of Bardez who is the Public Information Officer. By another application dated 17/04/2007, the Appellant requested the Respondent No. 1 to provide certified copies of mutation No. 600 in respect of Sy. 82/31, which was in the name of his father Mr. Silvestra D'Souza. In the said application the Appellant has also made a reference to his earlier letter dated 29/09/2004 whereby he has also sought copy of mutation but the same was not provided to him. The Appellant has produced certain copies of the letters issued by the Respondent No. 1. However, we do not find any reply of the Respondent No. 1 given to the Appellant in response to his application dated 17/04/2007.

2. The Appellant had also filed an appeal before the Respondent No. 2 and the Respondent No. 2 has issued a notices dated 04/03/2008 fixing the case for hearing on 13/03/2008 at 10.00 a.m. The outcome of the said appeal is also not known.

3. Both the Respondents chose to remain absent on the date of the hearing. From the documents produced before us we do not find any reply from both the Respondents. We have, therefore no hesitation in directing the Respondent No. 1 to issue certified copies of the mutation case No. 600 in respect of Sy. No. 82/31 of the Village Guirim which was in the name of the Appellant father Mr. Silvestra D'Souza, within two weeks.

Pronounced in the open Court on this 25th day of June2008.

Sd/-
(G. G. Kambli)
State Information Commissioner

Sd/-
(A. Venkataratnam)
State Chief Information Commissioner